

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/494,011	(01/28/2000	Walter C. Slater	80428DAN	2934	
1333	7590	12/06/2001				
PATENT L			EXAMINER			
EASTMAN I	STREET	•	BARTUSKA, FRANCIS JOHN			
ROCHESTE	R, NY 1	4650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2167			
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

				•						
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	0					
	*	09/494,0	11	SLATER ET AL.						
٤	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		F. J. BAR		2167						
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the second section apport.	pears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no evo ly within the state will apply and we e, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day Ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.	January 20	00							
2a)□	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>								
3)										
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application	n.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from co	nsideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	_									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election r	equirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2000</u> is/are	: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this O	ffice action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have bee	n received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have bee	n received in Applicat	ion No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		age					
14) 🔲 /	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	•		·					
Attachmer		•								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	<u>2.3</u> .		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-						

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 33-37, 40 and 42-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Enomoto et al. Enomoto et al disclose a photofinishing method and system including receiving images at photo lab 12, associating the images with ID data (see col. 7, lines 41-47), creating a virtual batch (see col. 7, lines 14-32), converting non-digital images to digital (see col. 8, lines 42-46) and creating a virtual batch in a different sequence (see col. 7, lines 22 and 23 where it is disclosed that prints are made in the order of the delivery date).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2167

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 29-32 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enomoto et al in view of Kristy. Enomoto et al show all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except that the images are optimized in the user processor 11 instead of the photofinisher processor 13. Kristy shows a photofinishing system in which the images are optimized at the photofinisher's processor 14, see

Art Unit: 2167

5, lines 25-33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Kristy to modify the system of Enomoto et al so the images are optimized at the photofinisher's processor.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enomoto et al in view of Kristy. Enomoto et al show all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the plurality of image obtaining devices. Kristy shows a film scanner and a CD reader to obtain images from different sources. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Kristy to provide the system of Enomoto et al with a plurality of image obtaining devices in order to obtain images from different sources.

Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-28 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enomoto et al in view of Kristy. Enomoto et al show all the features of the applicants' claimed invention except the plurality of image obtaining devices and that the images are optimized in the user processor 11 instead of the photofinisher processor 13. Kristy shows a photofinishing system in which the images are

Art Unit: 2167

optimized at the photofinisher's processor 14, see 5, lines 25-33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Kristy to modify the system of Enomoto et al so the images are optimized at the photofinisher's processor. Further, Kristy shows a film scanner and a CD reader to obtain images from different sources. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of the showing and teaching of Kristy to provide the system of Enomoto et al with a plurality of image obtaining devices in order to obtain images from different sources.

Claims 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enomoto et al in view of Kristy as applied to claim 1 above. Further, merely calling for magnetic data to be written on the film would involve only a notorious expedient to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2167

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims are indefinite because there is not proper antecedent basis for "said identification data".

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Meyers is cited to show the film port 22 and the electronic camera port 90. The British publication is cited to show a scanner that obtains image data from negatives or slides.

Art Unit: 2167

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. J. BARTUSKA whose telephone number is 703-308-1111. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY (ALTERNATE FRIDAYS OFF).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

fjb

December 6, 2001

F. J. BARTUSKA

PHINART EXAMINED